June 26, 2006 Page 2

Flat and I support the III Quay in inclusion of Woodsmill Quay in a heme and/resident's parking scheme and/or Quay for the use of Woodsmill Quay for residents parking.

CITY OF YORK DEDS - 7 DEC 2006

RECEIVED

Annex A

11787

14 Woodsmill Quay Skeldergate York YO1 6DX

27 November, 2006

Alistair Briggs
City of York Council
Environment and Development Services
9 St Leonard's Place
York
YO1 7ET

Dear Sir,

I am writing further to your most recent correspondence on the subject of residents parking for Woodsmill Quay.

After 2 years of correspondence you have still not even allowed my application to be considered through the council's own process, this is unacceptable.

Attached are a number of signed notes confirming support for my application, you will also see the numerous references to having tried to apply for resident's parking which was a surprise to me given your constant assertion that there has been insufficient demand from Woodsmill Quay. Now that I have these statements is there an internal process to review why you have misled me regarding interest from the residents or do I need to approach the Ombudsman?

Your comments re the use of Woodsmill Quay, whilst I am sure factually correct, prove that the use should be reconsidered. Woodsmill Quay and Skeldergate in general now has no working warehouses and therefore the rationale for classification as a working quay is redundant. South Esplanade is a working quay and recently a further commercial mooring was added to South Esplanade and a mooring removed from Skeldergate re-enforcing the shift in use.

Notwithstanding the above, to which I await your early responses, I would like to summarise my concerns –

After 2 years of correspondence you have not allowed my application to enter the council's process based on your belief that the application would be unsuccessful, which I do not believe should have stopped my request from receiving due consideration. You have written to another resident of Woodsmill Quay stating that other flats in the area are ahead of Woodsmill Quay in the process, this is contradictory to what you have told me and indicates a deliberate attempt to refuse to allow my application a reasonable review.

Even if you allow my request to be considered you say that it will take 2 years to review (this could have been completed by now).

As a York resident who pays council tax I am being discriminated against because I live in a newer property.

The redundant classification of Woodsmill Quay is being used as an excuse not to provide parking.

There is capacity to include Woodsmill Quay in resident's parking scheme R15 and the council generates revenue from parking meters rather than allow residents of Woodsmill Quay to park in that zone.

You have stated that considering Woodsmill Quay for joining scheme R15 would open the door to other flats on Skeldergate being included and exceeding capacity, surely the easy way to resolve this is to offer residents parking only to those people who do not have designated parking with their flat? Also as per my earlier comment it did not stop you reviewing applications for other buldings in the area!

The reason given for your belief that my application would be unsuccessful is that current members of R15 would refuse as they would vote on inclusion. My concern here is twofold, you have not considered what the application would be and therefore your assumption is premature, secondly why should they be allowed to dictate policy, this is not the council's usual way of making decisions.

There are a number of easy solutions to this issue, none of which you have been prepared to consider.

Aside from my concerns above, which I would like addressed. Your continued procrastination is at odds with the council's own stated policies on reducing pollution in York, my lack of parking ensures that my car is driven into and out of the city significantly more often than if I could park. As an estimate I believe that I make around 5 extra journeys a week due to the lack of parking. Multiplied by the other residents in the same position even in Woodsmill Quay alone adds significant extra traffic and pollution which is directly at odds with your policies.

A copy of this letter will be forwarded to my MP Hugh Bayley and I would be grateful if you would also forwarded a copy to my councilor Mr Evans as you did previously.

I will await your reply.

Sincerely,

Matt Ward

Annex A

27 Woodsmill Quay Skeldergete York YO1 6DX

6th July 2006

01904 659256 david lynn patrick@mac.com

27 Woodsmill Quay Skeldergate York YO1 6DX

6th July 2006

01904 659256 david_lynn_patrick@mac.com

Matt Ward 14 Woodsmill Quay Skeldergate York YO1 6DX

Dear Matt

Re: Parking at Woodsmill Quay

tise the issue Thank-you for your note dated 26 June 2006 in which you raise the issue of presenting a sallocated to petition to the Gity of York council to attempt to have parking allocated to Woodsmill Quay.

decussed a The directors of Woodsmill Ltd met today and this issue was discussed at that meeting. The king for residently of directors are in favour of attempting to obtain parking for residents at Woodsmill of you would Quay, and would be pleased to discuss this further with you if you would wish. We would made by various individuals to get an analyse Woodsmill Quay included in the local residents' parking scheme and the answer has always been no.

he sent to all IWe could for instance, arrange for any correspondence to be sent to all leaseholders as well the benefits of allocated parking. The meWe may also be able to advise on the best route to take in getting the message to the decision makers in the council.

a call or e-milf you would like to meet up for a chat about this do give me a call or e-mail me.

Yours sincerely

Lynn Patrick For The Directors Woodsmill Ltd